mined experimentally on a carefully purified sample of the nonane are reported. Empirical equations relating molecular structure to boiling

NOTES

Attempted Asymmetric Synthesis in a Metathetical Grignard Reaction

By William A. Bonner

In connection with another problem it became desirable to investigate the possibility of producing an asymmetric synthesis in a metathetical replacement reaction involving the Grignard reagent. The reaction selected was the formation of ethyl α -phenylethyl ether by the action of phenylmagnesium bromide on ethyl α -chloroethyl ether. The replacement was carried out in the presence of a variety of optically active agents including methyl tetramethyl- α -D-glucoside, tetraacetylglucosyl chloride, brucine, and *d*-bromocamphor. In each instance the ethyl α phenylethyl ether obtained showed no optical activity beyond experimental error.

The well known investigations of McKenzie and others, wherein asymmetric syntheses have been achieved via the Grignard reagent, have been confined to the addition of the Grignard to carbonyl groups in molecules already possessing asymmetric centers. Very few Grignard reactions have been conducted to test the possibility of asymmetric synthesis in the presence of external asymmetric molecules. Betti and Lucchi¹ claimed in 1940 to have prepared optically active α phenylethanols by the action of Grignard reagents on aldehydes in the presence of dimethylbornylamine. Tarbell and Paulson² showed later, however, that the activity of the Betti and Lucchi alcohols was due to an active impurity, and were further unable to prepare active alcohols under a variety of conditions, even using an optically active ether as solvent. The present attempted asymmetric synthesis apparently fails for the same possible reason, namely, because the asymmetric center supposedly imparting an asymmetric bias to the reaction is present only externally, and not as part of the reacting molecule. Several instances of successful asymmetric synthesis in the presence of external optically active agents have been reported, but none of these employs the Grignard reagent.

Experimental

Ethyl α -Phenylethyl Ether.—Ethyl α -chloroethyl ether was prepared according to the directions of Swallen and Boord.³ The material boiling from 44–51° (170 mm.) was used in the reaction described below. The chloro ether hydrolyzes rapidly and fumes in moist air, but can be stored with no apparent decomposition in a glassstoppered flask at 0°.

Phenylmagnesium bromide (50% excess) was prepared from magnesium (3.6 g.) and bromobenzene (23.2 g.) in dry ether (75 ml.). Ethyl α -chloroethyl ether (12 g.)was dissolved in dry ether (60 ml.) and the mixture placed in a three-necked flask equipped with a mercury-sealed Hershberg stirrer, a reflux condenser protected with a calcium chloride tube, and a dropping funnel similarly protected. The solution was cooled in ice and the Grignard reagent added dropwise with stirring over the course of thirty minutes. A grayish precipitate formed immediately, and the reaction proceeded with a hissing sound. The mixture was stirred under reflux for an additional hour, cooled and poured into ice water. The residue remaining in the reaction flask was dissolved with dilute sulfuric acid and the solution added to the ice water. The ether layer was separated, washed twice with water, with sodium bicarbonate solution until neutral, again

TABLE I

ATTEMPTED ASYMMETRIC SYNTHESES

	α- Chloro ether,		Active agent,	α-Phe Yield	nyl ether
Run	moles	Optically active agent	moles	%	$[\alpha]^{23}D$
1	0.074	Methyl tetramethyl-	0.074	69	0.00°
		α-D-glucoside			
2	.074	Brucine	.038	•••	08
3	.068	Tetraacetylglucosyl	.034	88°	.00
		chloride			
4	.055	d-Bromocamphor	.055	80	2.85°
5	.074	Methyl tetramethyl-	.074	56	0.00
		α-D-glucoside and activated alumina			

^a The product obtained from Run 2 was not ethyl α -phenylethyl ether as indicated by b. p. (91–97° (17 mm.)) and refractive index (n^{21} D 1.5308). The product rapidly decolorized bromine in carbon tetrachloride and slowly decolorized permanganate in acctone. Anal. C, 78.07, 78.20; H, 7.93, 7.85. Its identity is being established. ^b Tetraacetylglucosylbenzene was isolated by acetylation of the residue on evaporation of the water layer; yield, 43%. ^c The rotation here was due to contamination by camphor derivatives. When the crude product was redistilled into two fractions the first (b. p. 72–74° (17 mm.)) had [α]²³D 0.43°, and the second (b. p. 74–76° (17 mm.)) had [α]²⁴D 0.23°. The undistilled residue had a strong camphor-like odor.

(3) Swallen and Boord, ibid., 52, 654 (1930).

⁽¹⁾ Betti and Lucchi, Boll. sci. facolta chim. ind., Bologna, No. 1-2, 2 (1940); C. A., 34, 2354 (1940).

⁽²⁾ Tarbell and Paulson, THIS JOURNAL, 64, 2842 (1942).

with water, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent gave 20.1 g. of crude product. This was distilled through a three-inch Vigreux column and the fraction boiling from 74-92° (17 mm.) (mostly 75° (17 mm.)) collected. The yield was 11.6 g. (70%). The residue weighed 3.6 g. On redistillation the b. p. was 76-79° (17 mm.). The boiling point and density $(d^{27}_{38} 0.939)$ agree with literature values⁴ obtained for the product prepared by different methods. Refractive index n^{21} p 1.4929.

Attempted Asymmetric Syntheses.—Attempts were made to impart an asymmetric bias to the above reaction by conducting it, in the same manner, in the presence of various optically active agents. The quantities of reagents and results are given in Table I. The amount of phenylmagnesium bromide used in each case was sufficient to react completely with the optically active agent and the ethyl α -chloroethyl ether, plus a 100% excess of the quantity required for the latter reaction. All rotations were taken in 95% ethanol.

(4) Beilstein, "Handbuch der organische Chemie," 4th ed., Vol. VI, p. 475, (236).

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD UNIV., CALIF. RECEIVED AUGUST 19, 1946

7-Chloro-4-(1-diethylamino-4-pentylamino)quinazoline

BY M. K. MCKEE, R. L. MCKEE¹ AND R. W. BOST

Our attention has been called to the preparation of 7-chloro-4-(1-diethylamino-4-pentylamino)quinazoline by Price and co-workers.² We wish to record an alternative route for the preparation of this compound which had been worked out in our Laboratory when this article² appeared.

7-Chloro-4-hydroxyquinazoline.—A mixture of 19.5 g. of 4-chloro-anthranilamide, ${}^{3}75$ cc. of ethyl orthoformate, and 45 cc. of diethylene glycol was heated to 105–110° for three hours and to 120° for twenty-four hours. The resulting gel was warmed with dilute hydrochloric acid, made alkaline (sodium hydroxide), treated with Norite and filtered. The filtrate was acidified (acetic acid) and finally made faintly alkaline with ammonium hydroxide. The product was recrystallized from alcohol, giving 18.9 g. (87% yield) of white material melting at 250–253°. A small sample was sublimed at atmospheric pressure, giving a product melting at 251.5–253° for analysis.

Anal. Caled. for C₈H₅ClN₂O: N, 15.51. Found: N, 15.52.

7-Chloro-4-(1-diethylamino-4-pentylamino)-quinazoline. —Five grams (0.028 mole) of the above quinazolone was refluxed with 6.3 g. (0.030 mole) of phosphorus pentachloride in 25 cc. of freshly distilled phosphorus oxychloride for twenty-four hours. After removal of excess solvent by distillation (water pump), 11 g. (0.07 mole) of 1-diethylamino-4-aminopentane was added and refluxed for two hours. Aqueous alkali was added, and the product was extracted with ether, dried over sodium hydroxide and distilled. The material boiling from 210-215° at 4.5 mm. was recrystallized from benzene-petroleum ether to yield 7.1 g. (80% yield based on the quinazolone) of white crystals melting at 117-118°.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₅ClN₄: N, 17.46. Found: N, 17.23.

Acnkowledgment.—The authors wish to take this opportunity to express their appreciation to

(1) The Wm. S. Merrell Co., Postdoctoral Fellow.

(2) Price, Leonard and Curtin, THIS JOURNAL, 68, 1305 (1946).

(3) Hunn, ibid., 45, 1024 (1923).

The Wm. S. Merrell Co., whose generous support made this work possible.

THE VENABLE CHEMICAL LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

Received September 26, 1946

Electron Deficient Molecules. III. The Entropy of Diborane

By Kenneth S. Pitzer

In order to see whether measurement of the entropy of diborane would contribute further definite evidence concerning its structure, the writer calculated the translational-rotational entropy for both ethanelike and protonated double bond¹ models using distances and angles given by Bauer.² Much to his surprise the difference in moments of inertia almost compensated the difference in symmetry number so that the total entropies differ hardly more than the expected experimental error.

This makes it unlikely that an entropy measurement would contribute significantly to the structural problem. For the same reason, the calculated entropy is reasonably certain even if one may doubt which structure is correct. Since this entropy value may be of interest in thermodynamic calculations, it seems worthwhile to present it at this time.

Table I itemizes the calculations for the two separate models. It should be noted that the experimental heat capacity data of Stitt³ were used to compute the vibrational contribution. Thus no assumption was needed with respect to the actual vibrational assignment. Likewise, if there is an electronic contribution, it is included within that labelled vibrational. The estimates of error are based, respectively, on the uncertainties in bond distances and in the experimental heat capacities together with their extrapolation to a point where the vibrational contribution is negligible.

TABLE I

ENTROPY OF DIBORANE AT 298.16°K

Model	Ethane-like	Protonated double bond
Moments of inertia	1.44	1.08
$ imes 10^{39}$ g. sq. cm.	5.67	4.73
	5.67	5.05
Symmetry no.	6	4
Strans. + rot.	53.09 ± 0.1	53.31 ± 0.1
Svibr.	$2.15 \pm .1$	$2.15 \pm .1$
Stotal (cal. per degree mole)	55.24 - 2	$55.46 \pm .2$

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA RECEIVED NOVEMBER 12, 1946 (1) K. S. Pitzer, THIS JOURNAL, 67, 1126 (1945) (paper I of this

series). (2) S. H. Bauer, Chem. Rev., **31**, 43 (1942); private communica-

tion reported by Longuet-Higgins and Bell, J. Chem. Soc. (London), 250 (1943).

(3) F. Stitt, J. Chem. Phys., 8, 981 (1940).